

Encyclopedia of Evaluation

Peer Review

Contributors: Melvin M. Mark & Poh-Pheng Chua

Editors: Sandra Mathison

Book Title: Encyclopedia of Evaluation

Chapter Title: "Peer Review"

Pub. Date: 2005

Access Date: October 11, 2013

Publishing Company: Sage Publications, Inc.

City: Thousand Oaks

Print ISBN: 9780761926092

Online ISBN: 9781412950558

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781412950558.n404>

Print page: 301

This PDF has been generated from SAGE Research Methods. Please note that the pagination of the online version will vary from the pagination of the print book.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781412950558.n404>

Peer review refers generally to the evaluation of professional performance or products by other professionals and, more specifically, to a set of procedures for evaluating grant proposals and manuscripts submitted for publication. For peer-reviewed journals, content-matter specialists are asked to judge a manuscript, often using specified criteria and blinded to the author's identity. The journal editor considers reviewers' comments and decides whether the paper should be published, rejected, or revised and resubmitted. Similar procedures are used to review grant applications. Critiques of the peer review process focus on the low reliability of reviewers' recommendations, but the goal of peer review is to make good and defensible judgments rather than to have high reliability. Peer review is an example of an expertise-oriented approach to evaluation.

Melvin M. Mark and Poh-Pheng Chua

<http://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781412950558.n404>